UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,369	07/01/2003	Tsuyoshi Mima	00862.023128.	1190
	7590 09/30/201 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of the Americas NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			HUNTSINGER, PETER K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/609,369	MIMA, TSUYOSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter K. Huntsinger	2625	

	1 eter 1t. Hantolinger	2020
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed v AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contact. 	onsideration and/or search (see NO	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 9-11.		ll be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/David K Moore/	/Peter K. Huntsinger/	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	Examiner, Art Unit 2625	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations to claims 9 and 12, and additional claims 13-15 require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant argues on page 9 of the response in essence that:

The finality of the previous Office Action was premature.

The Applicant filed claim amendments to claim 9 on 4/8/10. Accordingly, Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in the Office action of 6/30/10.

The Applicant argues on pages 11 and 12 of the response in essence that:

Parry and Henry fail to disclose that the destination for the original image of the document is automatically set in accordance with authentication information to the address of the authenticated user.

Henry '534 discloses that once logged in, the user is permitted to either call up a saved address, number, or distribution list from his or her address book as indicated at block 402 of Fig. 4 (col. 4, lines 25-56). The operation can be considered automatic because the user is able to select an address instead of needing to manually enter it. The address can be considered the address of the authenticated user because the address is choosen by the user.

The Applicant argues on pages 12 and 13 of the response in essence that:

Parry and Henry fail to disclose in a first case the transmission of the original image of the document is set, and in a second case the transmission of the original image of the document is not set.

Henry '534 discloses in a first case the transmission of the original image of the document is set (col. 4, lines 25-56, once logged in, the user is permitted to either call up a saved address, number, or distribution list from his or her address book as indicated at block 402 of Fig. 4), and in a second case the transmission of the original image of the document is not set (col. 4, lines 25-56, if the user does not choose to select such an address, number, or list in this manner, he or she can begin to enter the destination e-mail address, fax number, or list name to which the document will be transmitted as indicated at block 404 in Fig. 4).